

# Second Amendment Organization

## Position Statement

---

### Firearms Features, Technology and Bans

September 2018

#### **3D Printable Guns and the distribution of related plans? (Supportive)**

The Second Amendment Organization supports the position that the distribution of information related to the manufacture of firearms and firearms parts is a Freedom of Speech Issue and that such information, including programming code or other digital instructions, should not be subject to special controls or restrictions.

#### **“80% Lowers” and the Private, unlicensed manufacturing of firearms? (Complex)**

The Second Amendment Organization does not support restrictions against the individual manufacture of firearms for personal use by those not prohibited from firearms ownership. In regard to the products marketed as “80% Lowers”, we believe that anyone selling parts, materials or tools with expectation/intent that the buyer will eventually build, manufacture, construct, fabricate or otherwise make an operational firearm from them, should confirm with the BATFE before any sales that their items are not considered “firearms” by law prior to modification by the purchaser.

The Second Amendment Organization also cautions individuals against working with the sellers of such parts or other entities to complete their project other than independently.

#### **“Assault Weapon” Bans? (Against)**

The Second Amendment Organization does not support the banning of any firearm based on features, magazine capacity, cosmetic factors, nor it’s likeness to firearms used by military forces or other armed professionals.

#### **Integrated Gun Locks? (Against)**

The Second Amendment Organization does not support requirements for firearms to have integrated locks that disable their ability to be fired.

#### **Magazine Capacity Restrictions? (Against)**

The Second Amendment Organization does not support restrictions on magazine capacity.

### **Magazine Disconnect Safeties? (Against)**

The Second Amendment Organization does not support requirements for firearms to have magazine disconnect safeties.

### **“Micro-Stamping” Requirements? (Against)**

The Second Amendment Organization does not support legislation that requires the use of “micro-stamping” or other similar technology.

### **“Smart Gun” Technology? (Complex)**

The Second Amendment Organization does not support requirements for firearms to have “smart gun” technology intended to prevent unauthorized use of, or accidental injury from, firearms, nor legislation requiring retailers to offer such firearms at any time. The Second Amendment Organization does, however, support the research and development of integrated technology to prevent the negligent, unauthorized or malicious use of firearms, including (but not limited to) biometric identification, RFID keys and Bluetooth authorization.

### **Trigger Pull Weight Minimum? (Complex)**

The Second Amendment Organization does not support any legislation establishing minimum pull weight. Safe firearms handling practices dictate the firearms with very low weight trigger pull weights (less than 3lbs) or very short travel lengths (less than .5 inches) should have a manual safety that is engaged when the operator is not shooting,

### **“Suppressors” and the proposed Hearing Protection Act? (Supportive)**

The Second Amendment Organization supports the provision of the proposed Hearing Protection Act to remove firearm sound suppressors from the purchase and possession requirements established by the National Firearms Act. We support the use of suppressors on firearms to make recreational shooting, firearms training and hunting activities safer and reduce impact on those in the vicinity of those activities.

*For more information about 2AO, please visit our web site at <http://www.2ao.org>.*

*Please direct any media inquiries to [media@2ao.org](mailto:media@2ao.org)*